Skeletal muscle is naturally wasted with age, and after the age of 65 years, this loss accelerates and can lead to negative consequences such as physical disability, falls, poor quality of life (QoL), and death [1,2]. Sarcopenia, characterized by the loss of skeletal muscle mass, strength, and function [3], is a common yet underrecognized age-related condition affecting millions of older adults worldwide [4,5]. The prevalence of sarcopenia increases with age, affecting approximately 10% of adults over the age of 65 years and up to 50% of those over 80 years [6,7]. Given the rapidly aging global population, sarcopenia poses significant challenges to individual health and well-being, as well as healthcare systems and economies [8,9].

As a multifactorial condition, sarcopenia arises from a complex interplay of factors, including aging, hormonal changes, nutritional deficiencies, and physical inactivity [10-12]. Understanding the underlying pathophysiology and identifying the various causes of sarcopenia are crucial for developing effective prevention and treatment strategies. From this perspective, a multidisciplinary approach to sarcopenia may be needed. Therefore, this narrative review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the current understanding of causes, consequences, and multidisciplinary approaches of sarcopenia, while also highlighting future directions for research and public health initiatives.

Pathophysiology of sarcopenia

The pathophysiology of sarcopenia is multifaceted and not yet fully understood. However, it is known to involve the interplay of various factors that contribute to the decline in muscle mass, strength, and function. This section will delve into the key aspects of sarco-
Sarcopenia pathophysiology, including muscle mass decline, decreased muscle strength, reduced muscle function, and contributing factors.

1. Decline of muscle mass
Aging results in a progressive loss of muscle mass, with studies suggesting a reduction of approximately 3% to 8% per decade after the age of 30 years. This decline accelerates after the age of 60 years [13]. The age-related loss of muscle mass is not fully understood, and much research is still needed. The loss of muscle mass occurs due to a combination of factors, such as a decrease in the size and number of muscle fibers, particularly type II (fast-twitch) fibers, which are responsible for high-intensity, short-duration activities [11].

2. Decreased muscle strength
Muscle strength declines alongside muscle mass, resulting in a reduced capacity to generate force. This decline in strength is more pronounced in type II muscle fibers, leading to significant impairments in physical performance and mobility [14]. The decrease in muscle strength is influenced by both intrinsic (muscle-related) and extrinsic (neural and hormonal) factors [15,16]. Decreased muscle strength refers to a reduction in the ability of muscles to generate force. It can be caused by a number of factors, including aging, a sedentary lifestyle, certain medical conditions, and poor nutrition. Muscle mass and strength naturally decline with age, and a condition in which this change is rapid can be considered an aspect of sarcopenia.

3. Impaired muscle function
Sarcopenia also affects muscle function, which encompasses various aspects of muscle performance, such as power, endurance, and coordination [14]. Age-related changes in muscle architecture, the neuromuscular junction, and motor unit remodeling contribute to the decline in muscle function [17-19]. Moreover, the impaired ability to repair and regenerate muscle tissue after injury or disuse exacerbates the decline in muscle function in older adults [20,21].

4. Contributing factors
Several factors contribute to the pathophysiology of sarcopenia, as follows.

1) Aging
Age-related changes in muscle fibers, motor units, and the neuromuscular junction predispose older adults to sarcopenia.

2) Hormonal changes
Hormones such as testosterone, estrogen, growth hormone (GH), and insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) play crucial roles in maintaining muscle mass and function. The decline and dysregulation of these hormones can lead to sarcopenia [22,23]. The effects of testosterone on skeletal muscle can be explained by its anabolic effects, such as the differentiation of mesenchymal pluripotent cells, and its effects on motor neurons, such as the promotion of nerve regeneration [24]. The decline in testosterone levels with age causes a loss of muscle mass and strength. Estrogen is sometimes converted to testosterone, which is anabolic for muscle protein synthesis and can suppress inflammatory cytokines, which are catabolic for skeletal muscle. After menopause, women experience an accelerated loss of muscle mass and strength, which can be attributed to hormonal changes as well as a decrease in physical activity [25]. The role of GH in skeletal muscle function can be explained by its anti-inflammatory and anabolic effects. In particular, the effects of GH on muscle are mainly mediated by IGF-1, which is secreted by the liver and skeletal muscle and has a hypertrophic effect on skeletal muscle. In addition, secreted IGF-1 significantly downregulates proinflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor alpha and interleukin-1 beta [26]. In acute sarcopenia, such as following an acute illness or surgery, cortisol acts as a mediator of protein catabolism. Hypercortisolemia can promote loss of muscle mass and strength [27]. Acute pain or inflammatory reactions can stimulate the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, leading to homeostatic and metabolic imbalances.

3) Nutritional factors
Inadequate protein intake and malnutrition, along with age-related changes in appetite and metabolism, can contribute to muscle wasting and sarcopenia [28].

4) Physical inactivity
Sedentary lifestyles and reduced physical activity levels are associated with muscle atrophy and an increased risk of sarcopenia [29].

5) Chronic medical conditions
Certain chronic conditions, such as diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and inflammatory diseases, can contribute to sarcopenia through inflammatory processes, reduced physical activity, and impaired muscle metabolism [30].

Adverse consequences of sarcopenia
Sarcopenia has significant implications for the health and well-being of affected individuals, as well as for healthcare systems and so-
ciety as a whole. This section will discuss the main consequences of sarcopenia, including physical disability, fall risk and fractures, reduced QoL, and increased healthcare costs.

1. Physical disability
The decline in muscle mass, strength, and function associated with sarcopenia can lead to impaired mobility and an increased risk of physical disability [3]. Everyday activities, such as walking, climbing stairs, and carrying objects, can become increasingly challenging for individuals with sarcopenia. As a result, affected individuals may experience a loss of independence and an increased reliance on assistance for daily tasks [31-33].

2. Risk of falls and fractures
Sarcopenia is a significant risk factor for falls and fractures among older adults [34-36]. The decline in muscle strength and function can lead to impaired balance, coordination, and postural stability, which, in turn, increases the risk of falls. In a study conducted by Kinoshita et al. [37] in a Japanese population, sarcopenia was found to increase the odds ratio by 2.94 times in elderly patients, and a meta-analysis also suggested a significant causal relationship [38]. Falls are a leading cause of injury and disability in older adults, and fractures resulting from falls can have severe consequences, including long-term pain, disability, and increased mortality [9,39].

3. Quality of life
Sarcopenia can negatively impact an individual’s QoL in various ways [3]. Physical limitations, loss of independence, and the increased risk of falls and fractures can contribute to reduced psychological well-being, social isolation, and depression [40-42]. Moreover, individuals with sarcopenia may experience fatigue, reduced stamina, and a decreased ability to engage in recreational activities, further diminishing their QoL [43].

4. Economic burden of healthcare
Sarcopenia is associated with significant healthcare costs due to increased rates of hospitalization, rehabilitation, and long-term care [44]. The direct costs of managing sarcopenia-related complications, such as falls and fractures, as well as the indirect costs related to disability and loss of productivity, place a considerable burden on healthcare systems and society. With the growing prevalence of sarcopenia due to an aging global population, these costs are expected to rise in the coming years [7,8].

5. Miscellaneous
Some systematic reviews and meta-analyses in the field of hepatology reported adverse outcomes of sarcopenia. Chang et al. [45] showed that sarcopenia was associated with increased mortality and tumor recurrence in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma, and another study reported that sarcopenia was significantly associated with hepatic encephalopathy in patients with cirrhosis [46]. Meanwhile, sarcopenia also affects the muscles involved in swallowing, causing sarcopenic dysphagia [47]. Dysphagia leads to malnutrition, creating a vicious cycle [48]. Although there are no golden diagnostic criteria for this condition, it can be diagnosed using a number of tools, including swallowing tests, video-fluoroscopic swallow study and surface electromyography, and ultrasound [47].

Diagnosis and assessment of sarcopenia
Early diagnosis and assessment of sarcopenia are crucial for implementing appropriate interventions and mitigating the associated health risks. An understanding of the criteria, tools, and methods used to diagnose sarcopenia through clinical and functional assessments used to evaluate the severity and impact of the patient’s condition is essential.

1. Diagnostic tools
Various tools and methods have been developed for diagnosing sarcopenia, which typically involve the assessment of muscle mass, strength, and function. In large epidemiologic surveys in the community, anthropometric measurements can be used to estimate muscle mass and body composition and to screen for sarcopenia, as testing with equipment can be difficult [5]. There have been, and continue to be, many studies to find noninvasive and safe methods. The most optimal methods used to measure muscle volume are magnetic resonance or computed tomography. However, these tests are expensive and no cutoff value has been established to date, so dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) or bioelectric impedance analysis (BIA) is used [49]. Common diagnostic tools and methods are as follows.

1) Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry
DXA is a widely used technique for assessing muscle mass and body composition. It is considered the gold standard for diagnosing sarcopenia due to its accuracy, precision, and low radiation exposure. Methods for estimating muscle mass using DXA are not yet fully established. However, the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People-2 (EWGSOP-2) suggests cutoff values for estimating muscle mass using DXA: appendicular lean (skeletal) mass or appendicular lean (skeletal) mass index (appendicular lean mass/height^2) (Fig. 1). For appendicular lean mass, it is rec-
ommended to define < 15 kg/m$^2$ for women and < 20 kg/m$^2$ for men, while appendicular lean mass index is defined as < 5.5 kg/m$^2$ for women and < 7.0 kg/m$^2$ for men [3,50,51].

2) Bioelectrical impedance analysis
BIA is a noninvasive method for estimating muscle mass and body composition based on the electrical properties of tissues. BIA uses electrical conductivity throughout the body to indirectly calculate muscle mass [52]. It is recommended to consider the measurements using the cross-validated Sergi equation [53], as the measurements may vary from one device to another, and there may be differences based on the population used. It also has the disadvantage that the amount of water in the body can affect the measurement. However, it is a more accessible and affordable alternative to DXA, although it may be less accurate in some cases [54].

3) Handgrip strength
Muscle strength was assessed with a handgrip strength test using a dynamometer or a vigorimeter. A dynamometer is used to measure isometric handgrip strength [55]. Measurements are given in kilograms. The correct posture for measurement is seated, shoulders adducted and neutrally rotated, elbow flexed 90°, forearm in a neutral position, wrist in dorsiflexion 0° to 30°, ulnar deviation 0° to 15°, avoiding overlap with other motor tasks [55,56]. A vigorimeter is measured by the patient contracting a kind of rubber ball connected to a manometer. The maximum pressure achieved by this ball corresponds to the maximum handgrip strength and is expressed in kilopascals. The use of different ball sizes makes it possible to adapt to different hand sizes, thus ensuring uniform muscle tension [55,57]. Handgrip strength is a relatively simple, cost-effective, and reliable measure of overall muscle strength. It is common-
ly assessed using a handheld dynamometer and has been shown to be a strong predictor of sarcopenia and related health outcomes [58]. The EWGSOP-2 defined the cutoff value for grip strength as < 27 kg for men and < 16 kg for women (Fig. 2) [3].

4) Gait speed
Gait or walking speed is widely used for assessing the functional performance of sarcopenia. A slow gait speed has been associated with an increased risk of sarcopenia, disability, and adverse health outcomes. A commonly used walking speed test is called the 6-meter usual walking speed test, which uses a stopwatch or electronic device to measure the gait timing [59]. Generally, low functional performance was defined as a gait speed of < 1.0 m/sec for both men and women [3].

2. Diagnostic criteria
Sarcopenia, the age-related loss of muscle mass, strength, and function, has been increasingly recognized as a significant public health concern. The diagnostic criteria for sarcopenia vary, with differences noted particularly between Europe and Asia (Table 1).

For Europe, the EWGSOP proposed diagnostic criteria in 2010, which were later revised in 2019 (EWGSOP-2). In their updated criteria, sarcopenia is recognized primarily by a reduction in muscle strength and confirmed by either a reduction in muscle quantity or quality. Severe sarcopenia, according to the EWGSOP-2, is identified by the presence of low muscle quantity, quality, and physical performance [3].

In contrast, in Asia, the Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia (AWGS) suggested different cutoff points considering the different body compositions and lifestyles compared to Western populations. Low muscle mass was defined as an appendicular skeletal muscle index of < 5.4 kg/m² for women and < 7.0 kg/m² for men. The AWGS also recommended using muscle strength and physical performance to diagnose sarcopenia, but the cutoff points for muscle mass, grip strength, and gait speed are lower than those suggested by the EWGSOP [33].

3. Other tools for clinical and functional assessments
In addition to the diagnostic tools and methods for sarcopenia, various clinical and functional assessments can be used to evaluate the severity and impact of sarcopenia on an individual’s health and well-being.

1) Short physical performance battery
The short physical performance battery (SPPB) is a widely used assessment tool that evaluates lower extremity function through a series of the balance test, the usual gait speed, and the repeated chair stands test [60]. It is a strong predictor of disability, falls, and
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**Fig. 2.** A handgrip strength test using a handgrip dynamometer. Low muscle strength is defined as a handgrip strength of < 28 kg for men and < 18 kg for women.

**Table 1.** Updated diagnostic criteria for sarcopenia in Europe and Asia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic criteria</th>
<th>EWGSOP-2 (Europe) [3]</th>
<th>AWGS 2019 (Asia) [33]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low muscle strength by handgrip</td>
<td>Men &lt; 27.0 kg, women &lt; 16.0 kg</td>
<td>Men &lt; 28.0 kg, women &lt; 18.0 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low muscle mass (ASM index²) by DXA</td>
<td>Men &lt; 7.0 kg/m², women &lt; 5.5 kg/m²</td>
<td>Men &lt; 7.0 kg/m², women &lt; 5.4 kg/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased physical performance</td>
<td>Gait speed &lt; 0.8 m/sec, SPPB ≤ 8, TUG ≥ 20 sec</td>
<td>Gait speed &lt; 1.0 m/sec, SPPB &lt; 9, 5-time chair stand test ≥ 12 sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EWGSOP-2, European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People-2; AWGS, Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia; ASM, appendicular skeletal muscle mass; DXA, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; SPPB, short physical performance battery; TUG, Timed Up and Go test.

²ASM index was calculated as ASM/height² measured by DXA. ³Gait speed measured by 6-meter walking speed test.
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mortality in older adults [61]. The balance test requires the subject to maintain three increasingly difficult positions: a side-by-side, semi-tandem (standing with the heel of one foot next to the big toe of the other), and tandem (touching with the heel of one foot in front of the toe of the other) for 10 seconds each. The balance test is scored based on the total time a subject holds each position (from 0 to 30 seconds) [60]. The subject is asked to walk a 4-meter course at their usual walking speed [62]. The subject is instructed to stand with both feet touching the starting line and then to start walking. They may use a walking aid (cane, walker, or other walking aid) if necessary, but may not be assisted by another person. When the start signal is given, timing begins and the number of seconds required to complete the full distance is recorded. The faster of the two steps is then used to calculate the SPPB score. The repeated chair stands test is performed with the back against a wall using a straight-backed chair. The subject is first asked to stand up from a sitting position without using their arms. If they are able to do this, they are asked to repeat the standing and sitting movement as quickly as possible, crossing their arms over their chest five times. The time taken to complete five stands is then recorded [62].

2) Timed Up and Go test
The Timed Up and Go test measures the time it takes for an individual to stand up from a chair, walk a short distance, turn around, and return to the chair. It is a simple and reliable assessment of mobility, balance, and functional status [63,64].

3) Thirty-second chair stand test
This test evaluates lower body strength by measuring the number of times an individual can stand up from a seated position within 30 seconds. It is a useful predictor of functional performance, falls, and disability in older adults [65,66].

**Multidisciplinary approach for sarcopenia**

Effective management strategies for sarcopenia focus on addressing the underlying causes and mitigating the consequences of the condition. Therefore, a multidisciplinary approach is needed to manage sarcopenia, including exercise interventions, nutritional interventions, and pharmacological interventions.

1. **Exercise interventions**
Physical activity, particularly resistance training, is considered the cornerstone of sarcopenia management. Exercise interventions can help maintain and build muscle mass, strength, and function, as well as improve overall health and well-being. Key components of exercise interventions for sarcopenia include as follows.

1) **Resistance training**
It involves working against external resistance (e.g., free weights, resistance bands, or body weight) and has been shown to be effective in increasing muscle mass, strength, and function in older adults [67]. It is recommended that older adults engage in resistance training at least 2 to 3 times per week, targeting all major muscle groups [68].

2) **Aerobic exercise**
Aerobic exercise such as walking, cycling, or swimming, can help improve cardiovascular health, endurance, and overall functional capacity in older adults [69]. It is recommended that older adults engage in moderate-intensity aerobic exercise for at least 150 minutes per week [70].

3) **Balance and flexibility training**
Incorporating balance and flexibility exercises into an exercise program can help improve postural stability, reduce fall risk, and maintain functional mobility in older adults [71,72].

A randomized controlled trial demonstrated the effectiveness of early exercise and nutritional intervention in sarcopenic elders [73]. It was reported that early exercise and nutritional intervention may help in the early recovery of lower limb muscle mass in sarcopenic elders. In particular, the authors suggested that when planning a rehabilitation program for patients with sarcopenia, resistance training with nutritional support may be beneficial for rapid gains in muscle mass.

2. **Nutritional interventions**
Proper nutrition is essential for maintaining muscle mass and function, and nutritional interventions can play a critical role in the management of sarcopenia. Key nutritional strategies for sarcopenia are as follows.

1) **Protein intake**
Ensuring adequate protein intake is crucial for maintaining and building muscle mass [74]. Older adults should aim for a daily protein intake of at least 1.0 to 1.2 g per kg of body weight, with an emphasis on high-quality protein sources, such as lean meats, poultry, fish, dairy, and plant-based options [75].

2) ** Branched amino acids (valine, leucine, and isoleucine)**
Muscle loss is caused by an imbalance between the anabolic and catabolic processes of protein. Amino acids are the main building blocks for muscle synthesis. In particular, branched-chain amino
acids (BCAAs) regulate the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) process in protein synthesis [76-78]. Recent studies have focused on reduced skeletal muscle sensitivity to amino acids as a potential mechanism of sarcopenia. Guillet et al. [79] suggested that defective activation of the BCAA pathway may be an important contributor to sarcopenia. In animal studies, administration of leucine can stimulate the rate of muscle protein synthesis [80,81]. Accordingly, BCAA administration may be beneficial in reversing age-related protein loss. Based on these findings, there has been a lot of interest in developing drugs for sarcopenia using BCAAs.

3) Vitamin D and calcium
Adequate vitamin D and calcium intake are important for bone health and may also play a role in maintaining muscle function [82]. Supplementation may be necessary for older adults who are deficient in these nutrients.

4) Energy balance
Maintaining an appropriate energy balance is important for preventing muscle wasting and supporting muscle growth. Older adults should consume a balanced diet with sufficient calories to meet their energy needs and support their exercise interventions [83,84].

3. Pharmacological interventions
Pharmacological interventions for sarcopenia are still in the early stage of development, and further research is needed to determine their efficacy and safety. Some potential pharmacological treatments for sarcopenia include the following.

1) Hormone replacement therapy
Testosterone replacement therapy in men and hormone replacement therapy in postmenopausal women may help improve muscle mass and strength. However, these therapies carry potential risks and should be carefully considered on an individual basis [85,86].

2) Myostatin inhibitors
Myostatin is a protein that regulates muscle growth, and inhibiting its action has been shown to promote muscle growth in preclinical studies [87,88]. Further research is needed to determine the effectiveness and safety of myostatin inhibitors in the treatment of sarcopenia.

3) Selective androgen receptor modulators
Selective androgen receptor modulators (SARMs) are a class of drugs that selectively target androgen receptors, promoting muscle growth without the adverse effects associated with traditional anabolic steroids. Clinical trials are ongoing to evaluate the potential of SARMs as a treatment for sarcopenia [89].

Novel diagnostic tools and developments in sarcopenia
As the global population continues to age, the prevalence of sarcopenia and its associated health risks is expected to increase [7]. This growing public health concern underscores the need for continued research and innovation in the prevention, diagnosis, and management of sarcopenia. This section will discuss future directions and research priorities in the field of sarcopenia, including the development of novel biomarkers, new pharmacological interventions.

1. Novel biomarkers for sarcopenia
The identification of reliable and easily accessible biomarkers for sarcopenia can significantly improve early diagnosis and facilitate the development of targeted interventions. Future research should focus on discovering and validating novel biomarkers, such as blood-based markers, genetic factors, or imaging-based parameters, that can help predict the risk, progression, and response to treatment in sarcopenia. In their study, Furutani et al. [90] combined messenger RNA analysis from mononuclear cells in serum with clinical information to create a model with high diagnostic sensitivity. There have also been studies that have attempted to use circulating microRNAs (miRNAs) as biomarkers. A meta-analysis of these studies suggests that miRNAs also have potential value as biomarkers with further research [91].

Recently, researchers have been focusing on extracellular vesicles (EVs) to demonstrate the paracrine effects of stem cells. The advantage of EVs is that they have a cargo that includes the properties of the originating cells, and they are ubiquitous in the body’s fluids and can be obtained relatively noninvasively. The study of EVs in relation to sarcopenia is also ongoing, and research has shown that EVs may be one of the underlying mechanisms of sarcopenia and can be used as a biomarker [92].

2. New screening test for sarcopenia
Although DXA is a noninvasive and relatively widely used diagnostic method for sarcopenia, it has the disadvantage of requiring equipment and cost. Recently, a study was conducted to develop a screening tool using the rapidly growing field of artificial intelligence (AI) models, and the authors claimed to have developed a model with a similar level of accuracy to DXA using physical measurements [93]. In fact, this approach is being tried in many areas.
of medicine, and diagnosis using models trained through trial and error is valuable as a low-cost and relatively accurate screening tool. Zupo et al. [94] developed an optimized model for screening for sarcopenia using machine learning with various anthropometric measurements and biological markers. With further research, we may see AI diagnostics as a diagnostic tool in the not-too-distant future.

3. New pharmacological interventions
The development of pharmacological interventions for sarcopenia represents an emerging and rapidly evolving field of research. While potential candidates like hormone replacement therapies, myostatin inhibitors, and SARMs have shown promise in preliminary studies, their application in clinical practice remains limited due to concerns over safety, side effects, and the need for further validation of efficacy. Hormone replacement therapies, for instance, may enhance muscle mass and strength, but they also carry risks that necessitate careful individualized consideration. Myostatin inhibitors, which counteract a protein that regulates muscle growth, have demonstrated positive outcomes in preclinical studies, yet their effectiveness and safety in the treatment of sarcopenia require further investigation [95]. Therefore, many myostatin inhibitors are currently undergoing clinical trials to confirm their safety and effectiveness [96]. SARMs, which selectively target androgen receptors to promote muscle growth without the adverse effects associated with traditional anabolic steroids, are currently under clinical trial evaluation [97]. As the global aging population continues to rise, and with it the prevalence of sarcopenia, the demand for effective pharmacological treatments will only become more pressing. Therefore, continued investment in comprehensive research and rigorous clinical trials is crucial to progress in this area.

Concluding remarks and perspectives
Sarcopenia, characterized by the age-related decline in muscle mass, strength, and function, is a growing public health concern due to the increasing global aging population. It is associated with numerous adverse health outcomes.

The implications for the future include: (1) Continued efforts to raise public awareness and understanding of sarcopenia, emphasizing the importance of early detection, intervention, and prevention measures. (2) Advancements in the development of novel diagnostic tools and biomarkers that facilitate early identification and targeted interventions for sarcopenia. (3) Continued research and innovation in the field of sarcopenia, focusing on the development of new pharmacological treatments, the identification of genetic and epigenetic factors, and personalized approaches to care. (4) Integration of multi- and interdisciplinary collaboration in the prevention, diagnosis, and management of sarcopenia, ensuring a comprehensive and individualized approach to care. (5) Implementation of effective public health policies and programs that support research, innovation, and community-based initiatives aimed at preventing and managing sarcopenia.

Conclusion
Addressing the challenges posed by sarcopenia requires a multidisciplinary approach that encompasses research, healthcare, and public health initiatives. By enhancing our understanding of sarcopenia and implementing evidence-based strategies for prevention, diagnosis, and management, we can work towards improving the health, mobility, and QoL of older adults worldwide.

Notes
Conflicts of interest
No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Funding
This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2021R1A6A1A03040177).

Author contributions
Conceptualization: all authors; Data curation, Project administration: GBK; Formal analysis, Supervision: OJS; Funding acquisition, Validation: WTP, GBK; Methodology: WTP; Resources: OJS, GBK; Writing-original draft: WTP, GBK; Writing-review & editing: WTP, OJS.

ORCID
Wook Tae Park, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2871-3198
Oog-Jin Shon, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9123-5694
Gi Beom Kim, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4067-1285

References
Park et al. Multidisciplinary approach to sarcopenia


